

September 19, 1956

William H. Hicks, Esquire
Attorney at Law
West Church Street
Frederick, Maryland

Re: Lawrence Roughan v
John Renn, Jr.

Dear Mr. Hicks:

Since receipt of Mr. Roughan's letter of June 24, 1956 relative to the above-noted matter suggesting as a means of settlement that he would be willing to allow Mr. and Mrs. Renn the continued use of the old railroad right-of-way if they will sign an agreement stating, in effect, that they are using it with his permission and fully recognizing his ownership.

This proposal seems to be a counter-offer to the proposition that Mr. Nirkirk, Mr. Roughan and I discussed on the ground during the summer. At that time we suggested that Mr. Roughan retain the portion of the right-of-way south of Carroll Creek, together with certain adjacent land and convey all of the right-of-way north of Carroll Creek to Mr. and Mrs. Renn for a consideration approximately equal to the amount he paid for the whole right-of-way, together with any expenses in connection with the purchase. This proposition was recommended to Mr. and Mrs. Renn by Mr. Nirkirk and myself.

In view of the many questions involved in this situation and in view of the course of the negotiations up to this time, we do not believe that we can recommend to Mr. and Mrs. Renn that they enter into the agreement proposed by Mr. Roughan.

Very truly yours,

MATHIAS AND MATHIAS

By

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.

OHjr/dlw

cc: Edwin F. Nirkirk, Esquire

Filed June 9, 1986

EXHIBIT L